

"THE TIMES."**ANOTHER POPULAR "PRESTO" RECEPTION YESTERDAY.**

The Pressroom Again Crowded to See "the Wheels Go Round"—Printing the "Weekly Mirror"—Warm Letters of Congratulation.

Yesterday was visitors' day at this office, and hundreds of people took advantage of the fact that they might watch the new *Hoe Presto* web perfecting press as it rolled out the *Weekly Mirror*. Many of them came early and spent several hours going over the Times Building, and seemed to take a deep interest in the running of the various departments. During the "running off" of the 12-page *Weekly Mirror*, the pressroom was crowded with visitors, and after the last paper fell from the folder, ready for the mail, and the big machine, which seemed to know that its labors were over until 3:30 o'clock this morning, came to a graceful standstill, the people looked disappointed. They looked as if they could never tire of watching the wonderful machine, and as they left the building several were heard to remark that they would return on every "visitors' day."

The following letters have been received:

TRUMPH OF "THE TIMES."

LOS ANGELES, Feb. 23.—[To the Editor of *The Times*.]—I am a newspaperman of upward of 30 years standing, I was admitted to your spacious office to witness the progress of your *Titanic* press. Allow me to preface a short account of my visit to express the gratification and pleasure experienced by myself and the hundreds of others who thronged the spacious establishment on the 23d inst.

A remarkably critical and intelligent gathering were struck with admiration at the immense resources and the original invention manifested in this *Titanic* hive of industry; whilst the wonder and surprise depicted on the countenances of the visitors at the marvelous rapidity, precision, and completeness of the work done, was not easy to describe; whilst the master mind to direct, control and apply the mighty force accumulated in this magnificent building for the weal or woe of strike and lockout, with a firm, manly and intelligent hand, is indicative of true journalistic attributes.

I visited you for a source of satisfaction and pleasure. After viewing the magnificence of the immense offices and presses in American cities, and having been connected with leading modern papers in England, I have no doubt that you are your own master, and one of the most reliable and complete I have ever seen built for newspaper production. Without a single stoppage, hitch, blur or break, I witnessed one roll of paper, of 12 columns, 16 pages, 24 columns, both sides, cut, folded, counted and placed in the visitors' hands long within the half hour. This was succeeded by a similar work of power, and the speed with which the remaining couple of minutes to the delight of the crowd of visitors streaming round and round the wonderful mechanism of tools, the great wonder of the world.

The action of *Sanchez vs. De Celi* was continued informally to await settlement.

The action of *Phelan vs. Pallet et al.* was passed in the same manner.

JUSTICE TAYLOR.

In the action of *Reiter vs. Evening Express Company* against W. N. Davis, defendant was entered.

Ten disturbers of the peace were fined in sums from \$2 to \$30, and one other ordered to appear for sentence today. John Schreif will be arraigned February 29th for his offense.

Adrien Desbat was fined \$10 for carrying concealed weapons.

Maud Thurston was fined \$15.

William Sawyer was fined \$6 for vagrancy.

John Case failed to appear for trial, and his defense was set for March 7th.

The case of *Emanger vs. Emanger* was continued until February 28th.

JUSTICE AUSTIN.

In the action of *Reiter vs. Evening Express Company* against W. N. Davis, defendant was entered.

Ten disturbers of the peace were fined in sums from \$2 to \$30, and one other ordered to appear for sentence today. John Schreif will be arraigned February 29th for his offense.

Adrien Desbat was fined \$10 for carrying concealed weapons.

Maud Thurston was fined \$15.

William Sawyer was fined \$6 for vagrancy.

John Case failed to appear for trial, and his defense was set for March 7th.

The case of *Emanger vs. Emanger* was continued until February 28th.

JUSTICE AUSTIN.

In the action of *Reiter vs. Evening Express Company* against W. N. Davis, defendant was entered.

Ten disturbers of the peace were fined in sums from \$2 to \$30, and one other ordered to appear for sentence today. John Schreif will be arraigned February 29th for his offense.

Adrien Desbat was fined \$10 for carrying concealed weapons.

Maud Thurston was fined \$15.

William Sawyer was fined \$6 for vagrancy.

John Case failed to appear for trial, and his defense was set for March 7th.

The case of *Emanger vs. Emanger* was continued until February 28th.

JUSTICE AUSTIN.

In the action of *Reiter vs. Evening Express Company* against W. N. Davis, defendant was entered.

Ten disturbers of the peace were fined in sums from \$2 to \$30, and one other ordered to appear for sentence today. John Schreif will be arraigned February 29th for his offense.

Adrien Desbat was fined \$10 for carrying concealed weapons.

Maud Thurston was fined \$15.

William Sawyer was fined \$6 for vagrancy.

John Case failed to appear for trial, and his defense was set for March 7th.

The case of *Emanger vs. Emanger* was continued until February 28th.

JUSTICE AUSTIN.

In the action of *Reiter vs. Evening Express Company* against W. N. Davis, defendant was entered.

Ten disturbers of the peace were fined in sums from \$2 to \$30, and one other ordered to appear for sentence today. John Schreif will be arraigned February 29th for his offense.

Adrien Desbat was fined \$10 for carrying concealed weapons.

Maud Thurston was fined \$15.

William Sawyer was fined \$6 for vagrancy.

John Case failed to appear for trial, and his defense was set for March 7th.

The case of *Emanger vs. Emanger* was continued until February 28th.

JUSTICE AUSTIN.

In the action of *Reiter vs. Evening Express Company* against W. N. Davis, defendant was entered.

Ten disturbers of the peace were fined in sums from \$2 to \$30, and one other ordered to appear for sentence today. John Schreif will be arraigned February 29th for his offense.

Adrien Desbat was fined \$10 for carrying concealed weapons.

Maud Thurston was fined \$15.

William Sawyer was fined \$6 for vagrancy.

John Case failed to appear for trial, and his defense was set for March 7th.

The case of *Emanger vs. Emanger* was continued until February 28th.

JUSTICE AUSTIN.

In the action of *Reiter vs. Evening Express Company* against W. N. Davis, defendant was entered.

Ten disturbers of the peace were fined in sums from \$2 to \$30, and one other ordered to appear for sentence today. John Schreif will be arraigned February 29th for his offense.

Adrien Desbat was fined \$10 for carrying concealed weapons.

Maud Thurston was fined \$15.

William Sawyer was fined \$6 for vagrancy.

John Case failed to appear for trial, and his defense was set for March 7th.

The case of *Emanger vs. Emanger* was continued until February 28th.

JUSTICE AUSTIN.

In the action of *Reiter vs. Evening Express Company* against W. N. Davis, defendant was entered.

Ten disturbers of the peace were fined in sums from \$2 to \$30, and one other ordered to appear for sentence today. John Schreif will be arraigned February 29th for his offense.

Adrien Desbat was fined \$10 for carrying concealed weapons.

Maud Thurston was fined \$15.

William Sawyer was fined \$6 for vagrancy.

John Case failed to appear for trial, and his defense was set for March 7th.

The case of *Emanger vs. Emanger* was continued until February 28th.

JUSTICE AUSTIN.

In the action of *Reiter vs. Evening Express Company* against W. N. Davis, defendant was entered.

Ten disturbers of the peace were fined in sums from \$2 to \$30, and one other ordered to appear for sentence today. John Schreif will be arraigned February 29th for his offense.

Adrien Desbat was fined \$10 for carrying concealed weapons.

Maud Thurston was fined \$15.

William Sawyer was fined \$6 for vagrancy.

John Case failed to appear for trial, and his defense was set for March 7th.

The case of *Emanger vs. Emanger* was continued until February 28th.

JUSTICE AUSTIN.

In the action of *Reiter vs. Evening Express Company* against W. N. Davis, defendant was entered.

Ten disturbers of the peace were fined in sums from \$2 to \$30, and one other ordered to appear for sentence today. John Schreif will be arraigned February 29th for his offense.

Adrien Desbat was fined \$10 for carrying concealed weapons.

Maud Thurston was fined \$15.

William Sawyer was fined \$6 for vagrancy.

John Case failed to appear for trial, and his defense was set for March 7th.

The case of *Emanger vs. Emanger* was continued until February 28th.

JUSTICE AUSTIN.

In the action of *Reiter vs. Evening Express Company* against W. N. Davis, defendant was entered.

Ten disturbers of the peace were fined in sums from \$2 to \$30, and one other ordered to appear for sentence today. John Schreif will be arraigned February 29th for his offense.

Adrien Desbat was fined \$10 for carrying concealed weapons.

Maud Thurston was fined \$15.

William Sawyer was fined \$6 for vagrancy.

John Case failed to appear for trial, and his defense was set for March 7th.

The case of *Emanger vs. Emanger* was continued until February 28th.

JUSTICE AUSTIN.

In the action of *Reiter vs. Evening Express Company* against W. N. Davis, defendant was entered.

Ten disturbers of the peace were fined in sums from \$2 to \$30, and one other ordered to appear for sentence today. John Schreif will be arraigned February 29th for his offense.

Adrien Desbat was fined \$10 for carrying concealed weapons.

Maud Thurston was fined \$15.

William Sawyer was fined \$6 for vagrancy.

John Case failed to appear for trial, and his defense was set for March 7th.

The case of *Emanger vs. Emanger* was continued until February 28th.

JUSTICE AUSTIN.

In the action of *Reiter vs. Evening Express Company* against W. N. Davis, defendant was entered.

Ten disturbers of the peace were fined in sums from \$2 to \$30, and one other ordered to appear for sentence today. John Schreif will be arraigned February 29th for his offense.

Adrien Desbat was fined \$10 for carrying concealed weapons.

Maud Thurston was fined \$15.

William Sawyer was fined \$6 for vagrancy.

John Case failed to appear for trial, and his defense was set for March 7th.

The case of *Emanger vs. Emanger* was continued until February 28th.

JUSTICE AUSTIN.

In the action of *Reiter vs. Evening Express Company* against W. N. Davis, defendant was entered.

Ten disturbers of the peace were fined in sums from \$2 to \$30, and one other ordered to appear for sentence today. John Schreif will be arraigned February 29th for his offense.

Adrien Desbat was fined \$10 for carrying concealed weapons.

Maud Thurston was fined \$15.

William Sawyer was fined \$6 for vagrancy.

John Case failed to appear for trial, and his defense was set for March 7th.

The case of *Emanger vs. Emanger* was continued until February 28th.

JUSTICE AUSTIN.

In the action of *Reiter vs. Evening Express Company* against W. N. Davis, defendant was entered.

Ten disturbers of the peace were fined in sums from \$2 to \$30, and one other ordered to appear for sentence today. John Schreif will be arraigned February 29th for his offense.

Adrien Desbat was fined \$10 for carrying concealed weapons.

Maud Thurston was fined \$15.

William Sawyer was fined \$6 for vagrancy.

John Case failed to appear for trial, and his defense was set for March 7th.

The case of *Emanger vs. Emanger* was continued until February 28th.

JUSTICE AUSTIN.

In the action of *Reiter vs. Evening Express Company* against W. N. Davis, defendant was entered.

Ten disturbers of the peace were fined in sums from \$2 to \$30, and one other ordered to appear for sentence today. John Schreif will be arraigned February 29th for his offense.

Adrien Desbat was fined \$10 for carrying concealed weapons.

Maud Thurston was fined \$15.

William Sawyer was fined \$6 for vagrancy.

John Case failed to appear for trial, and his defense was set for March 7th.

The case of *Emanger vs. Emanger* was continued until February 28th.

PACIFIC RAILWAYS.

Burlington Writes a Tart Letter
to the Government.
Their Debts to the Federal
Government.

House Committee Gives a Hearing
to the Commissioners.

Senate Votes to Pass the Bill
to Amend the Constitution—Fathers of the
Country Take 50 Part in
the Movement.

By the House Committee on
Foreign Affairs.

Royal Reception—Parnell's New
Law Bill.

LONDON, Feb. 24.—By Cable and Associated Press. The Queen held the first drawing of the season today, according to the Prince of Wales and his daughters, the Princesses Louise, Victoria and Maud, and Princess Beatrice, the Duke of Cambridge, and Prince and Princess Christian. During the reception to the diplomatic corps the Queen had a long conversation with Count von Hatzenfeld, German ambassador, regarding the Crown Prince.

PARNELL'S LAND BILL.

LONDON, Feb. 24.—The bill is published. Among other provisions it empowers the court to order a reduction of arrears and costs to tenants to whom the act of 1887 applies, where it is proved that such tenants are unable to pay, owing to circumstances beyond their control.

Mrs. Sergeant said tonight to an Associated Press representative: "We have consented to the strike, and we have instructed our men accordingly, and at a given hour every engineer and fireman employed on the entire system of the Chicago, Burlington and Quincy will quit work and go to their homes."

DETERMINED BULGARIA.

SOFIA, Feb. 24.—It is stated that any proposal to delarone Ferdinand even if such proposal be supported by the powers.

Death of a Well-known Lady.

SAN FRANCISCO, Feb. 24.—Mrs. J. Prentiss Moore, a lady well known in charitable and literary circles of California, was found dead in bed this morning, at her residence in Oakland, Calif., it is supposed from apoplexy. Her son Stephen Steedmoor, whom she is said to have been very fond of, and Joann Miller was one of her strongest friends. She leaves no children. She was a sister of Mrs. Jesus Baker, and H. A. Baker, who represented the railroad in Oakland. Her husband is now a Victoria on business. The funeral takes place tomorrow.

THE FISHERY TREATY.

PORTLAND (Or.), Feb. 24.—Several months ago a man known as "Spanish Charley" shot and killed Jackson Somers at Ruby City, Wash. The fugitive secreted himself in the mountains and was kept supplied with provisions by the aid of several friends. The authorities discovered the fact and notified the persons that they would be arrested as accomplices of the murderer unless they revealed his hiding place. This they did, and the fugitive is now in jail at Ruby.

Running Order Again.

SAN FRANCISCO, Feb. 24.—The Pacific Coast Steamship Company's sail for the Northern and Oriental route has been suspended, and the company, under the management of its president, to pay its debts to the public and to the country, which would have to depend for its existence on the line between the Atlantic and the Pacific. At the same time, the company has suspended the Union Pacific, and with a fair extension, it will be able to meet its obligations.

Another class of ship owners, which are the shipping companies, which represent the shipping line, the only ones that affect the country, would less than the revenues of the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

Another class of ship owners, which is the only one that affects the country, would be covered by the value of the Pacific Coast.

TO BE TIED UP.

Locomotive Engineers to Go
on a Strike.

The Entire Burlington System
Threatened with a Tie-up.

President Cleveland on His Way Home
from Florida.

The Famous Yacht Corinth to Make a Trip to Call-
ifornia—The Dominion's Kick at the
Fisheries Treaty—Miss Palmer's
Board Distributed.

By Telegraph to The Times.

CHICAGO, Feb. 24.—[By the Associated
Press.] It is possible that tomorrow the
Chicago, Burlington and Quincy system
will be tied from one end to the other. The
Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers and
Firemen has decided upon a strike as a
last resort to enforce their demands upon
the company, and confidently expect to tie
up the road completely and win the strike.
For three weeks past a committee of the
Burlington engineers and firemen has been
negotiating through Chief Arthur and Master
Sergeant with the Burlington officials to
bring about arrangements similar to those
on other roads.

Mrs. Sergeant said tonight to an Associated
Press representative: "We have consented
to the strike, and we have instructed our
men accordingly, and at a given hour every
engineer and fireman employed on the entire
system of the Chicago, Burlington and Quincy
will quit work and go to their homes."

Adjourning.

FOREIGN AFFAIRS.

A Royal Reception—Parnell's New
Law Bill.

LONDON, Feb. 24.—By Cable and Associated
Press. The Queen held the first
drawing of the season today, according to
the Prince of Wales and his daughters, the
Princesses Louise, Victoria and Maud, and
Princess Beatrice, the Duke of Cambridge,
and Prince and Princess Christian. During
the reception to the diplomatic corps the
Queen had a long conversation with Count
von Hatzenfeld, German ambassador, re-
garding the Crown Prince.

PARNELL'S LAND BILL.

LONDON, Feb. 24.—The bill is published.
Among other provisions it empowers the court to order a reduction
of arrears and costs to tenants to whom the
act of 1887 applies, where it is proved
that such tenants are unable to pay, owing
to circumstances beyond their control.

Mrs. Sergeant said tonight to an Associated
Press representative: "We have consented
to the strike, and we have instructed our
men accordingly, and at a given hour every
engineer and fireman employed on the entire
system of the Chicago, Burlington and Quincy
will quit work and go to their homes."

DETERMINED BULGARIA.

SOFIA, Feb. 24.—It is stated that any
proposal to delarone Ferdinand even if such
proposal be supported by the powers.

Death of a Well-known Lady.

SAN FRANCISCO, Feb. 24.—Mrs. J. Prentiss
Moore, a lady well known in charitable
and literary circles of California, was found
dead in bed this morning, at her residence
in Oakland, Calif., it is supposed from
apoplexy. Her son Stephen Steedmoor,
whom she is said to have been very fond of,
and Joann Miller was one of her strongest
friends. She leaves no children. She was a
sister of Mrs. Jesus Baker, and H. A. Baker,
who represented the railroad in Oakland. Her
husband is now a Victoria on business. The
funeral takes place tomorrow.

THE FISHERY TREATY.

Opposition to It in the Dominion
Parliament.

OTTAWA (Ont.), Feb. 24.—[By the Associated
Press.] In the Senate today Scott, the
Opposition leader, said that he could
not accept the solution of the fisheries
question provided by the Chamberlain
government, and suggested that the
Governor should be called in to consider
the two countries would be intensified by
this incongruous document.

Power of Halifax criticised the treaty in
detail, and regretted that by the concessions in
it Canada had thrown away the only
lever by which reciprocal trade with the
United States could be secured.

THE CORONET'S CRUISE.

The Noted Yacht to Make a Trip
Around the Horn.

NEW YORK, Feb. 24.—[By the Associated
Press.] The big schooner yacht Corinth,
which won the ocean race against the
Dauntless last spring, is preparing for a
long voyage. Her owner, Royal T. Bush
of the Standard Oil Company, now at San
Diego, has telegraphed to Capt. Crosby to
get the yacht in readiness, and provision
made for her a 10-months' voyage, and
she will arrive in San Diego next Tuesday.
The S. A. will arrive on Sunday, and
then start for Cape Horn, where she will
remain during her trip.

THE FISHING TRIP.

Another Railway Incorporated.

DENVER (Colo.), Feb. 24.—Articles of
incorporation were filed today by the
Pueblo, Gunnison and Pacific Railroad
Company. The capital stock is \$100,000.
The incorporators are Charles Henkel,
H. R. Hoibrook, M. P. Fitch, O. H. P. Bass,
and John A. Gray, all bankers and
heavy capitalists of Pueblo. The main line
of the road extends from Pueblo over the
San Miguel Pass in Sangre de Christo
range to the San Luis Valley, thence to
Gunnison and the Colorado River, and west
of the West of the State line, with branch lines
running to Pagosa Springs, Lake City and
Duray. Work on the road is said to be
nearly completed, and it is intended to
make an interline railway system not yet
reached by the Rio Grande.

Emigrants Fare.

NEW YORK, Feb. 24.—At the meeting of
passing agents of the trunk lines today,
the Canadian Pacific Association was established
to take effect after March 1st. From that
date emigrants' fares by this road will be
as follows:

First-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$10 and
one-half per month.

Second-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$5 and
one-half per month.

Third-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$2 and
one-half per month.

First-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$10 and
one-half per month.

Second-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$5 and
one-half per month.

Third-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$2 and
one-half per month.

First-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$10 and
one-half per month.

Second-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$5 and
one-half per month.

Third-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$2 and
one-half per month.

First-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$10 and
one-half per month.

Second-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$5 and
one-half per month.

Third-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$2 and
one-half per month.

First-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$10 and
one-half per month.

Second-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$5 and
one-half per month.

Third-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$2 and
one-half per month.

First-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$10 and
one-half per month.

Second-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$5 and
one-half per month.

Third-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$2 and
one-half per month.

First-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$10 and
one-half per month.

Second-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$5 and
one-half per month.

Third-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$2 and
one-half per month.

First-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$10 and
one-half per month.

Second-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$5 and
one-half per month.

Third-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$2 and
one-half per month.

First-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$10 and
one-half per month.

Second-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$5 and
one-half per month.

Third-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$2 and
one-half per month.

First-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$10 and
one-half per month.

Second-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$5 and
one-half per month.

Third-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$2 and
one-half per month.

First-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$10 and
one-half per month.

Second-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$5 and
one-half per month.

Third-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$2 and
one-half per month.

First-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$10 and
one-half per month.

Second-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$5 and
one-half per month.

Third-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$2 and
one-half per month.

First-class passengers to San Fran-
cisco will pay a differential of \$10 and
one-half per month

MUST HANG.

ANSCHLAG, THE BUTCHER, IS SENTENCED TO DEATH.

A Highly Dramatic Scene in Judge Cheney's Courtroom—The Court's Remarks—How the Poor Wretch Took It—The Death Watch Set.

Yesterday morning was the time set for the sentence of Fritz Anschlag, the Garden Grove murderer, and the fact had not been forgotten by the spectators who day after day flocked to the courtroom during the trial to satisfy the morbid craving which animated them. The seats in Judge Cheney's courtroom were filled at 10 o'clock by the same eager, gossipy crowd, and long before the hour appointed for the passing of the sentence, every available part of the court was taken, and many people were standing in the gangway and passage outside. At 9:30 the doors were flung open, and Deputy Sheriff Sanchez appeared and cleared a way up the aisle to the prisoners' dock. Closely behind came Detectives Harris, Metzler and Boteler and Deputy Sheriff Morris with Mr. Anderson. Anschlag, self-possessed and apparently unmoved, followed, and as he walked up to the desk with rapid steps he was closely followed by the spectators. He had not even paused to take his seat, cleared the courtroom of all but the spectators who had been fortunate enough to secure seats. The officers then seated him close to the witness stand, and the charge of the murderer from now until the execution.

The DEATH WATCH.
Anschlag, the condemned murderer, was yesterday removed, on his return from court, from the cell in the main body of the jail to a smaller one in the rear, and the death watch put over him. He braced up, however, long enough to eat a dinner sent to him from a restaurant, and managed to sleep. On being locked up last night, he begged piously for a light, and for some one to talk to him in the cell with him whom he could talk to. Deputy Sheriff Wilson will have immediate charge of the murderer from now until the execution.

Gladstone.
The Improvement Company Sued on a Note.
A complaint has been filed by the Crane Brothers Manufacturing Company against the Gladstone Improvement Company, to recover on a certain promissory note. The complaint filed is as follows:

The Crane Brothers Manufacturing Company vs. Gladstone Improvement Company, H. H. Boyce, C. J. Richards, John Koster and Walter S. Moore.

In the above entitled action, complaining of the defendants in said action, avices:

"That it is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Illinois, for the purpose of manufacturing and selling tools, pumps, hose, belting, sewer pipe and plumbers' and gasfitters' materials, in every variety, and is doing business as such in its said corporate name in the city and county of Los Angeles, State of California."

"That the Gladstone Improvement Company, the defendant herein, is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California."

"That on the 16th day of December, 1887, at the city and county of Los Angeles, the said defendants, the Gladstone Improvement Company, H. H. Boyce, C. J. Richards, John Koster and Walter S. Moore made their certain promissory note in the words and figures following, to wit:

"Blessed be the sacred name that binds."

Personal News.

S. Harwood of St. Louis has rooms at the St. Elmo.

R. H. Stevens of New York is at the United States Hotel.

G. W. Batchelder of Boston arrived at the United States Hotel.

P. J. Mitchell of Rock Island, Ill., is registered at the Hotel of the W.C.T.U.

F. E. M. Baldwin and wife of Tiffin, O., are guests at the Nadeau.

James T. C. Wiley of Washington, Pa., is at the St. Elmo.

J. B. Cook and wife of St. Paul, Minn., are at the Hotel of the W.C.T.U.

W. B. Tracy and wife of Minneapolis, Minn., are at the St. Elmo.

Mrs. M. H. Wadsworth of Rock Island, Ill., has rooms at the Nadeau.

W. S. Butler, wife and family of Boston can be found at the Nadeau.

J. W. Armstrong of Louisville, Ky., is in town on a prospecting tour.

Mrs. Egerton and Mrs. Winn of Mayville are guests at the Forestier.

H. J. Carpenter of Atlanta, Ga., is stopping at the United States Hotel.

John J. Heffernan of Baltimore has rooms at the Hotel of the W.C.T.U.

T. M. Johnson of Portsmouth, O., is on a business trip in this city.

Joseph Davis and sons of Helena, Mont., are guests at the United States Hotel.

Dr. and Mrs. A. J. Jenkins of Chicago are visiting their niece, Mrs. O. H. Churchill.

Paul J. Conklin of the Southern Pacific Railroad is stopping at the United States Hotel.

W. H. Riddle of San Francisco leaves today for San Diego on business, but will return in a few days.

George Booth, formerly in the attorney general's office, has been visiting Gen. Miles.

Judge D. L. Richards, attorney of Birmingham, N. Y., and wife are stopping at the Belvedere Terrace.

Licht. Dayparay has returned from a short trip to San Francisco and has resumed his residence at the Raymonds.

Niss Mary M. Bowman of the Abilene (Kan.) Chronicle is in this city, and visited the Times office yesterday.

Dr. E. Armstrong of Hamilton, O., is in town on a prospecting tour.

W. H. Lovell of Los Angeles, Calif., and G. W. Burden of Greeley, Ind., are at the Forestier.

Koster vs. Brago.

In addition to the above suit a complaint was filed yesterday by John Koster, the restaurateur, who figured conspicuously in the Boyce-Seamans case, and who, in the present instance, seeks to recover on a note received in the way of business from C. C. Brago.

The text of the document is as follows:

"John Koster (by H. H. Boyce),
"vs. C. C. Brago,
"C. J. Richards.

"And then and there delivered the said note to the plaintiff."

"That the said plaintiff is now the lawful owner and holder of the said promissory note."

"That said defendants, or either of them, have not paid said note or any part thereof, the same remains wholly due and unpaid."

"Wherefore, as the said plaintiff prays judgment for the sum of \$16,48, with interest thereon from February 1, 1888, at the rate of 12 per cent. per annum, and 5 per cent. on the principal as attorney's fees, provided in said note, and for costs of suit; and that judgment be made payable in gold coin of the United States."

"MITCHELL & CRIGHTON,
"Attorneys for Plaintiff.

"Swoan to by A. H. Busch, agent and for the company in Los Angeles county."

The District Attorney having conceded his arguments, Mr. McGregor said he had nothing further to say. The Court proceeded to state new arguments offered. The Court commenced by saying it had no prejudices of any sort. No fault could be found with counsel for asserting that the Court had erred. But, as an actual fact, the defense had not been able to show that the jury could not avoid being prejudiced by seeing the mob and hearing the evidence of the coroner and other witnesses on occasions. For these reasons he asked that the defendant be granted a new trial. Mr. McGregor then took each allegation as set forth in the affidavits, and argued on them seriatim. He dwelt particularly on the fact that large crowds had followed the defendant from the courthouse to the jail, and from the jail to the courthouse, and that the jury could not avoid being prejudiced by seeing the mob and hearing the evidence of the coroner and other witnesses on occasions. For these reasons he asked that the defendant be granted a new trial. Old ground was traveled, but it was simply restated in trying to show a reason for a new trial from the affidavits that had been filed, and ruled upon previously by the Court. At the conclusion of the argument, the Court gave the attorney general for the defense a chance to speak, and he did so, in a manner which was possible in his client's behalf. The District Attorney answered the argument of defending counsel and went into the question of the affidavits offered in support of the motion for a new trial, and specifically answered all objections and proved the exceptions noted by the defense were nearly all in error. All the doubtful circumstances were clearly set out in bold relief, and it appeared to everyone present that Anschlag had been convicted on a fair and impartial evidence as any that could be offered.

The District Attorney having conceded his arguments, Mr. McGregor said he had nothing further to say. The Court proceeded to state new arguments offered. The Court commenced by saying it had no prejudices of any sort. No fault could be found with counsel for asserting that the Court had erred. But, as an actual fact, the defense had not been able to show that the jury could not avoid being prejudiced by seeing the mob and hearing the evidence of the coroner and other witnesses on occasions. For these reasons he asked that the defendant be granted a new trial. Old ground was traveled, but it was simply restated in trying to show a reason for a new trial from the affidavits that had been filed, and ruled upon previously by the Court. At the conclusion of the argument, the Court gave the attorney general for the defense a chance to speak, and he did so, in a manner which was possible in his client's behalf. The District Attorney answered the argument of defending counsel and went into the question of the affidavits offered in support of the motion for a new trial, and specifically answered all objections and proved the exceptions noted by the defense were nearly all in error. All the doubtful circumstances were clearly set out in bold relief, and it appeared to everyone present that Anschlag had been convicted on a fair and impartial evidence as any that could be offered.

The District Attorney having conceded his arguments, Mr. McGregor said he had nothing further to say. The Court proceeded to state new arguments offered. The Court commenced by saying it had no prejudices of any sort. No fault could be found with counsel for asserting that the Court had erred. But, as an actual fact, the defense had not been able to show that the jury could not avoid being prejudiced by seeing the mob and hearing the evidence of the coroner and other witnesses on occasions. For these reasons he asked that the defendant be granted a new trial. Old ground was traveled, but it was simply restated in trying to show a reason for a new trial from the affidavits that had been filed, and ruled upon previously by the Court. At the conclusion of the argument, the Court gave the attorney general for the defense a chance to speak, and he did so, in a manner which was possible in his client's behalf. The District Attorney answered the argument of defending counsel and went into the question of the affidavits offered in support of the motion for a new trial, and specifically answered all objections and proved the exceptions noted by the defense were nearly all in error. All the doubtful circumstances were clearly set out in bold relief, and it appeared to everyone present that Anschlag had been convicted on a fair and impartial evidence as any that could be offered.

The District Attorney having conceded his arguments, Mr. McGregor said he had nothing further to say. The Court proceeded to state new arguments offered. The Court commenced by saying it had no prejudices of any sort. No fault could be found with counsel for asserting that the Court had erred. But, as an actual fact, the defense had not been able to show that the jury could not avoid being prejudiced by seeing the mob and hearing the evidence of the coroner and other witnesses on occasions. For these reasons he asked that the defendant be granted a new trial. Old ground was traveled, but it was simply restated in trying to show a reason for a new trial from the affidavits that had been filed, and ruled upon previously by the Court. At the conclusion of the argument, the Court gave the attorney general for the defense a chance to speak, and he did so, in a manner which was possible in his client's behalf. The District Attorney answered the argument of defending counsel and went into the question of the affidavits offered in support of the motion for a new trial, and specifically answered all objections and proved the exceptions noted by the defense were nearly all in error. All the doubtful circumstances were clearly set out in bold relief, and it appeared to everyone present that Anschlag had been convicted on a fair and impartial evidence as any that could be offered.

The District Attorney having conceded his arguments, Mr. McGregor said he had nothing further to say. The Court proceeded to state new arguments offered. The Court commenced by saying it had no prejudices of any sort. No fault could be found with counsel for asserting that the Court had erred. But, as an actual fact, the defense had not been able to show that the jury could not avoid being prejudiced by seeing the mob and hearing the evidence of the coroner and other witnesses on occasions. For these reasons he asked that the defendant be granted a new trial. Old ground was traveled, but it was simply restated in trying to show a reason for a new trial from the affidavits that had been filed, and ruled upon previously by the Court. At the conclusion of the argument, the Court gave the attorney general for the defense a chance to speak, and he did so, in a manner which was possible in his client's behalf. The District Attorney answered the argument of defending counsel and went into the question of the affidavits offered in support of the motion for a new trial, and specifically answered all objections and proved the exceptions noted by the defense were nearly all in error. All the doubtful circumstances were clearly set out in bold relief, and it appeared to everyone present that Anschlag had been convicted on a fair and impartial evidence as any that could be offered.

The District Attorney having conceded his arguments, Mr. McGregor said he had nothing further to say. The Court proceeded to state new arguments offered. The Court commenced by saying it had no prejudices of any sort. No fault could be found with counsel for asserting that the Court had erred. But, as an actual fact, the defense had not been able to show that the jury could not avoid being prejudiced by seeing the mob and hearing the evidence of the coroner and other witnesses on occasions. For these reasons he asked that the defendant be granted a new trial. Old ground was traveled, but it was simply restated in trying to show a reason for a new trial from the affidavits that had been filed, and ruled upon previously by the Court. At the conclusion of the argument, the Court gave the attorney general for the defense a chance to speak, and he did so, in a manner which was possible in his client's behalf. The District Attorney answered the argument of defending counsel and went into the question of the affidavits offered in support of the motion for a new trial, and specifically answered all objections and proved the exceptions noted by the defense were nearly all in error. All the doubtful circumstances were clearly set out in bold relief, and it appeared to everyone present that Anschlag had been convicted on a fair and impartial evidence as any that could be offered.

The District Attorney having conceded his arguments, Mr. McGregor said he had nothing further to say. The Court proceeded to state new arguments offered. The Court commenced by saying it had no prejudices of any sort. No fault could be found with counsel for asserting that the Court had erred. But, as an actual fact, the defense had not been able to show that the jury could not avoid being prejudiced by seeing the mob and hearing the evidence of the coroner and other witnesses on occasions. For these reasons he asked that the defendant be granted a new trial. Old ground was traveled, but it was simply restated in trying to show a reason for a new trial from the affidavits that had been filed, and ruled upon previously by the Court. At the conclusion of the argument, the Court gave the attorney general for the defense a chance to speak, and he did so, in a manner which was possible in his client's behalf. The District Attorney answered the argument of defending counsel and went into the question of the affidavits offered in support of the motion for a new trial, and specifically answered all objections and proved the exceptions noted by the defense were nearly all in error. All the doubtful circumstances were clearly set out in bold relief, and it appeared to everyone present that Anschlag had been convicted on a fair and impartial evidence as any that could be offered.

The District Attorney having conceded his arguments, Mr. McGregor said he had nothing further to say. The Court proceeded to state new arguments offered. The Court commenced by saying it had no prejudices of any sort. No fault could be found with counsel for asserting that the Court had erred. But, as an actual fact, the defense had not been able to show that the jury could not avoid being prejudiced by seeing the mob and hearing the evidence of the coroner and other witnesses on occasions. For these reasons he asked that the defendant be granted a new trial. Old ground was traveled, but it was simply restated in trying to show a reason for a new trial from the affidavits that had been filed, and ruled upon previously by the Court. At the conclusion of the argument, the Court gave the attorney general for the defense a chance to speak, and he did so, in a manner which was possible in his client's behalf. The District Attorney answered the argument of defending counsel and went into the question of the affidavits offered in support of the motion for a new trial, and specifically answered all objections and proved the exceptions noted by the defense were nearly all in error. All the doubtful circumstances were clearly set out in bold relief, and it appeared to everyone present that Anschlag had been convicted on a fair and impartial evidence as any that could be offered.

The District Attorney having conceded his arguments, Mr. McGregor said he had nothing further to say. The Court proceeded to state new arguments offered. The Court commenced by saying it had no prejudices of any sort. No fault could be found with counsel for asserting that the Court had erred. But, as an actual fact, the defense had not been able to show that the jury could not avoid being prejudiced by seeing the mob and hearing the evidence of the coroner and other witnesses on occasions. For these reasons he asked that the defendant be granted a new trial. Old ground was traveled, but it was simply restated in trying to show a reason for a new trial from the affidavits that had been filed, and ruled upon previously by the Court. At the conclusion of the argument, the Court gave the attorney general for the defense a chance to speak, and he did so, in a manner which was possible in his client's behalf. The District Attorney answered the argument of defending counsel and went into the question of the affidavits offered in support of the motion for a new trial, and specifically answered all objections and proved the exceptions noted by the defense were nearly all in error. All the doubtful circumstances were clearly set out in bold relief, and it appeared to everyone present that Anschlag had been convicted on a fair and impartial evidence as any that could be offered.

The District Attorney having conceded his arguments, Mr. McGregor said he had nothing further to say. The Court proceeded to state new arguments offered. The Court commenced by saying it had no prejudices of any sort. No fault could be found with counsel for asserting that the Court had erred. But, as an actual fact, the defense had not been able to show that the jury could not avoid being prejudiced by seeing the mob and hearing the evidence of the coroner and other witnesses on occasions. For these reasons he asked that the defendant be granted a new trial. Old ground was traveled, but it was simply restated in trying to show a reason for a new trial from the affidavits that had been filed, and ruled upon previously by the Court. At the conclusion of the argument, the Court gave the attorney general for the defense a chance to speak, and he did so, in a manner which was possible in his client's behalf. The District Attorney answered the argument of defending counsel and went into the question of the affidavits offered in support of the motion for a new trial, and specifically answered all objections and proved the exceptions noted by the defense were nearly all in error. All the doubtful circumstances were clearly set out in bold relief, and it appeared to everyone present that Anschlag had been convicted on a fair and impartial evidence as any that could be offered.

The District Attorney having conceded his arguments, Mr. McGregor said he had nothing further to say. The Court proceeded to state new arguments offered. The Court commenced by saying it had no prejudices of any sort. No fault could be found with counsel for asserting that the Court had erred. But, as an actual fact, the defense had not been able to show that the jury could not avoid being prejudiced by seeing the mob and hearing the evidence of the coroner and other witnesses on occasions. For these reasons he asked that the defendant be granted a new trial. Old ground was traveled, but it was simply restated in trying to show a reason for a new trial from the affidavits that had been filed, and ruled upon previously by the Court. At the conclusion of the argument, the Court gave the attorney general for the defense a chance to speak, and he did so, in a manner which was possible in his client's behalf. The District Attorney answered the argument of defending counsel and went into the question of the affidavits offered in support of the motion for a new trial, and specifically answered all objections and proved the exceptions noted by the defense were nearly all in error. All the doubtful circumstances were clearly set out in bold relief, and it appeared to everyone present that Anschlag had been convicted on a fair and impartial evidence as any that could be offered.

The District Attorney having conceded his arguments, Mr. McGregor said he had nothing further to say. The Court proceeded to state new arguments offered. The Court commenced by saying it had no prejudices of any sort. No fault could be found with counsel for asserting that the Court had erred. But, as an actual fact, the defense had not been able to show that the jury could not avoid being prejudiced by seeing the mob and hearing the evidence of the coroner and other witnesses on occasions. For these reasons he asked that the defendant be granted a new trial. Old ground was traveled, but it was simply restated in trying to show a reason for a new trial from the affidavits that had been filed, and ruled upon previously by the Court. At the conclusion of the argument, the Court gave the attorney general for the defense a chance to speak, and he did so, in a manner which was possible in his client's behalf. The District Attorney answered the argument of defending counsel and went into the question of the affidavits offered in support of the motion for a new trial, and specifically answered all objections and proved the exceptions noted by the defense were nearly all in error. All the doubtful circumstances were clearly set out in bold relief, and it appeared to everyone present that Anschlag had been convicted on a fair and impartial evidence as any that could be offered.

The District Attorney having conceded his arguments, Mr. McGregor said he had nothing further to say. The Court proceeded to state new arguments offered. The Court commenced by saying it had no prejudices of any sort. No fault could be found with counsel for asserting that the Court had erred. But, as an actual fact, the defense had not been able to show that the jury could not avoid being prejudiced by seeing the mob and hearing the evidence of the coroner and other witnesses on occasions. For these reasons he asked that the defendant be granted a new trial. Old ground was traveled, but it was simply restated in trying to show a reason for a new trial from the affidavits that had been filed, and ruled upon previously by the Court. At the conclusion of the argument, the Court gave the attorney general for the defense a chance to speak, and he did so, in a manner which was possible in his client's behalf. The District Attorney answered the argument of defending counsel and went into the question of the affidavits offered in support of the motion for a new trial, and specifically answered all objections and proved the exceptions noted by the defense were nearly all in error. All the doubtful circumstances were clearly set out in bold relief, and it appeared to everyone present that Anschlag had been convicted on a fair and impartial evidence as any that could be offered.

The District Attorney having conceded his arguments, Mr. McGregor said he had nothing further to say. The Court proceeded to state new arguments offered. The Court commenced by saying it had no prejudices of any sort. No fault could be found with counsel for asserting that the Court had erred. But, as an actual fact, the defense had not been able to show that the jury could not avoid being prejudiced by seeing the mob and hearing the evidence of the coroner and other witnesses on occasions. For these reasons he asked that the defendant be granted a new trial. Old ground was traveled, but it was simply restated in trying to show a reason for a new trial from the affidavits that had been filed, and ruled upon previously by the Court. At the conclusion of the argument, the Court gave the attorney general for the defense a chance to speak, and he did so, in a manner which was possible in his client's behalf. The District Attorney answered the argument of defending counsel and went into the question of the affidavits offered in support of the motion for a new trial, and specifically answered all objections and proved the exceptions noted by the defense were nearly all in error. All the doubtful circumstances were clearly set out in bold relief, and it appeared to everyone present that Anschlag had been convicted on a fair and impartial evidence as any that could be offered.

The District Attorney having conceded his arguments, Mr. McGregor said he had nothing further to say. The Court proceeded to state new arguments offered. The Court commenced by saying it had no prejudices of any sort. No fault could be found with counsel for asserting that the Court had erred. But, as an actual fact, the defense had not been able to show that the jury could not avoid being prejudiced by seeing the mob and hearing the evidence of the coroner and other witnesses on occasions. For these reasons he asked that the defendant be granted a new trial. Old ground was traveled, but it was simply restated in trying to show a reason for a new trial from the affidavits that had been filed, and ruled upon previously by the Court. At the conclusion of the argument, the Court gave the attorney general for the defense a chance to speak, and he did so, in a manner which